

2151



f/s

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Re application of: Bak et al.

Application No.: 09/896,075

Filed: June 28, 2001

Title: INLINE DATABASE FOR RECEIVER  
TYPES IN OBJECT-ORIENTED SYSTEMS

Attorney Docket No.:  
SUN1P146C1/P2296

Examiner: Not Yet Assigned

Group: 2151

**RECEIVED**

NOV 06 2002

Technology Center 2100

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231 on Oct. 30, 2002.

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

Kristina Gomez

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT**

**37 CFR §§1.56 AND 1.97(b)**

Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, DC 20231

Dear Sir:

The references listed in the attached PTO Form 1449, copies of which are attached, may be material to examination of the above-identified patent application. Applicants submit these references in compliance with their duty of disclosure pursuant to 37 CFR §§1.56 and 1.97. The Examiner is requested to make these references of official record in this application.

This Information Disclosure Statement is not to be construed as a representation that a search has been made, that additional information material to the examination of this application does not exist, or that these references indeed constitute prior art.

This Information Disclosure Statement is: (i) filed within three (3) months of the filing date of the above-referenced application, (ii) believed to be filed before the mailing date of a first Office Action on the merits, or (iii) believed to be filed before the mailing of a first Office Action after the filing of a Request for Continued Examination under §1.114. Accordingly, it is believed that no fees are due in connection with the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement. However, if it is determined that any fees are due, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge such fees to Deposit Account 500388 (Order No. SUN1P146C1).

Respectfully submitted,

BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS, LLP

R. Mahboubian  
Registration No. 44,890

P.O. Box 778  
Berkeley, CA 94704-0778

<b>Form 1449 (Modified)</b>		Atty Docket No.	SUN1P146C1
<b>Information Disclosure Statement By Applicant</b>		Application No.:	09/896,075
		Applicant	Bak et al.
		Filing Date	June 28, 2001
		Group	2151
			<b>RECEIVED</b>
			NOV 06 2002
(Use Several Sheets if Necessary)		Technology Center 2100	

U.S. Patent Documents							
Examiner Initial	No.	Patent No.	Date	Patentee	Class	Sub-class	Filing Date
	A.	5,522,071	05/1996	Guillen et al.			
	B.	5,600,838	02/1997	Guillen et al.			
	C.	5,701,485	12/1997	Guillen et al.			
	D.	5,960,197	09/1999	Segnan			
	E.	5,987,529	11/1999	Nakamura et al.			
	F.	6,317,796	11/2001	Bak et al.			
	G.	6,385,660	05/2002	Greisemer et al.			

#### Foreign Patent or Published Foreign Patent Application

Examiner Initial		Document No.	Publication Date	Country or Patent Office	Class	Sub-Class	<u>Translation</u> Yes   No
	H.	EP 0 546 684 A	06/1993	EPO			

#### Other Documents

Examiner Initial	No.	Author, Title, Place (e.g. Journal) of Publication, Date
	I.	Hölzle and Ungar, "Optimizing Dynamically-Dispatched Calls with Run-Time Type Feedback," June 1994, SIGPLAN Conference on Programming Language Design and Implementation, Orlando, FL.
	J.	Hölzle and Agesen, "Dynamic vs. Static Optimization Techniques for Object-Oriented Languages", 1996, TAPOS.
	K.	Karl Driesen, "Method Lookup Strategies in Dynamically Typed Object-Oriented Programming Languages," 1992-1993, Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment for the degree of Masters in Computer Science from Vrije Universiteit Brussel.
	L.	Driesen et al., "Message Dispatch on Pipelined Processors," 1995, Accepted at ECOOP'95, to appear in Lecture Notes in Computer Science.
	M.	Plevyak et al., "Type Directed Cloning for Object-Oriented Programs," Languages and Compilers for Parallel Computing, 8 <sup>th</sup> International Workshop, LCPC 1995 Columbus, August 10-12, 1995, No. Workshop 8, August 10, 1995, pages 566-580.
	N.	Agesen et al., "Type Feedback vs. Concrete Type Inference: A Comparison Of Optimization Techniques For Object Oriented Languages," OOPSLA-ACM, pp 91-94, Dec. 1995.
Examiner		Date Considered

Examiner: Initial citation considered. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.